arXiv:1402.4261v1 [math-ph] 18 Feb 2014

Mean field limit for Bosons with compact kernels interactions by Wigner measures transportation.

Boris Pawilowski* , Quentin Liard†

February 19, 2014

Abstract

We consider a class of many-body Hamiltonians composed of a free (kinetic) part and a multi-particle (potential) interaction with a compactness assumption on the latter part. We investigate the mean field limit of such quantum systems following the Wigner measures approach. We prove the propagation of these measures along the flow of a nonlinear (Hartree) field equation. This enhances and complements some previous results of the same type shown in [4, 6, 11].

Keywords: mean field limit, second quantization, Wigner measures, continuity equation. 2010 Mathematics subject classification: 81S05, 81T10, 35Q55, 28A33

1 Introduction

In the present paper, we consider the mean field problem for a system of many quantum particles described by a N-body Schrödinger Hamiltonian which is typically a sum of a kinetic energy and a multi-particle interaction. It is well-known that the mean field theory provides a reliable approximation of the many-body Schrödinger dynamics by a one particle (nonlinear) dynamics. Such approximation is fundamental in physics in modelling of Bose-Einstein condensates and superfluidity. Mathematically, it is an interesting question with some subtlety.

There are several approaches to the derivation of the mean field limit. For instance the method of coherent states [13, 15, 19] or the BBGKY hierarchy of reduced density matrices ([7, 8, 10, 9, 16, 17]), to mention only few. There is a newer approach inspired by ideas from the analysis of oscillation phenomena in finite dimension and relying on Wigner measures (see Definition 1.1 and [3, 4, 6, 5]). With this method the propagation of fairly general quantum states can be understood in the mean field limit ([4, 6]). These quantum states are constrained to a certain compactness property, called the (PI) condition (9), essential for the convergence. One expects that loss of compactness would be in fact an obstacle to the achievement of the limit. In [6] the case of a bounded interaction was proven with the specific (PI) condition (9).

Our main purpose is to show that under a compactness assumption on the interaction, one can describe the propagation of a wider class of quantum states. The only assumption

*boris.pawilowski@univ-rennes1.fr, IRMAR, Université de Rennes I, campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France.

†quentin.liard@univ-rennes1.fr, IRMAR, Université de Rennes I, campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France.

needed now is an uniform trace estimate reflecting a finite density of particles on these states but not the (PI) condition (9).

The main tool used here is the Wigner measure introduced in [3] in an infinite dimensional setting. These measures reflect the ideas of space-phase analysis. It was developed, in finite dimension, in the work of P. Gérard, P.A Markowich, N. Mauser, F. Poupaud [12], B. Helffer , A. Martinez and D. Robert [14], P.L Lions and T. Paul [18] and L.Tartar [20]. Our strategy is based on the work of Z. Ammari and F. Nier [5] and L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli and G. Savare in [1] and it requires the study of continuity equations in infinite dimensional spaces.

We work in the bosonic Fock space

$$\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigvee^n \mathcal{Z} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n \mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}\,,$$

modelled on a one particle separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathcal{S}_n$ is the symmetrization projection defined on $\mathcal{Z}^{\otimes n}$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_n(\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \varphi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{\sigma(n)}\,,$$

with the sum running over all permutations of n elements. If not specified tensor products and orthogonal direct sums are considered in their Hilbert completed version. We are interested in the mean field dynamics of the many-body Hamiltonian with multi-particle interaction

$$H_\varepsilon^{(n)} = H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)} + \sum_{\ell=2}^{r} \varepsilon^\ell \frac{n!}{(n-\ell)!} \mathcal{S}_n(\tilde{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\bigvee^{n-\ell}\mathcal{Z}}) \mathcal{S}_n\,, \quad n \geq 2r\,, \tag{1}$$

in the asymptotic regime $\varepsilon \to 0$, $n\varepsilon \to 1$. Here the $\tilde{Q}_\ell$'s are bounded symmetric operators on $\bigvee^\ell \mathcal{Z}$ and

$$H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)} = \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{Id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes \underbrace{A}_{i} \otimes \mathrm{Id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Id}\,, \tag{2}$$

where A is a given self-adjoint operator.

Within the second quantization (see Appendix A.3), the operator $H_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ (resp. $H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)}$) can be written as a restriction to the subspace $\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$ of the operator H_ε (resp. H_ε^0) defined on the Fock space and given by:

$$H_\varepsilon = H_\varepsilon^0 + Q^{Wick} \quad , \quad Q(z) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{r} \langle z^{\otimes \ell}\,,\, \tilde{Q}_\ell z^{\otimes \ell} \rangle, \tag{3}$$

$$H_\varepsilon^0 = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(A) = \langle z\,,\, Az \rangle^{Wick}\,. \tag{4}$$

The mean field energy functional is

$$h(z, \bar{z}) = \langle z, Az \rangle + \, Q(z)\,, \tag{5}$$

so that the mean field dynamics are given by the non linear equation

$$i\partial_t z_t = \partial_{\bar{z}} h(z_t, \bar{z}_t) = Az_t + \partial_{\bar{z}} Q(z_t). \tag{6}$$

In our framework, the annihilation and creation operators, $a(z_1)$ and $a^*(z_2)$, with z_1, z_2 in $\mathcal{Z}$, satisfy the ε-dependent Canonical Commutation Relations (CCR):

$$[a(z_1), a^*(z_2)] = \varepsilon \langle z_1 \, , \, z_2 \rangle \, \mathrm{Id} \, .$$

Recall that the Weyl operator, for $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$, is defined by

$$W(\xi) = e^{i \frac{a(\xi) + a^*(\xi)}{\sqrt{2}}} \, ,$$

and the number operator $\mathbf{N}$ is

$$\mathbf{N} = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathrm{Id}) \, .$$

We refer the reader to Appendix A.2 for a brief review of the second quantization and these related operators.

Our approach in the derivation of the mean field dynamics uses Wigner measures. For reader convenience, we recall the definition below.

Definition 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an infinite subset of $(0, +\infty)$ such that $0 \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}}$ be a family of normal states on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ ($\varrho_\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varrho_\varepsilon] = 1$) such that:*

$$\exists \delta > 0 \, , \exists C_\delta > 0 \, , \forall \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} \, , \quad \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^\delta] \leq C_\delta < \infty \, .$$

The set $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E})$ of Wigner measures associated with $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}}$ is the set of Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{Z}$, μ, such that there exists an infinite subset $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ with $0 \in \overline{\mathcal{E}'}$ and

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{Z} \, , \quad \lim_{\mathcal{E}' \ni \varepsilon \to 0} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) \right] = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} e^{2i\pi \mathrm{Re}\langle \xi, z \rangle} d\mu(z) \, .$$

By some diagonal extraction of subsequences, it was proved in [3] that $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E})$ is never empty (see Theorem B.1).

Our main result will be proved under the following assumptions:

A1. *The operator A with the domain $D(A)$ is self-adjoint in $\mathcal{Z}$.*

A2. *For all $\ell \in \{2, \ldots, r\}$, the operator $\tilde{Q}_\ell$ is compact and self-adjoint in $\bigvee^\ell \mathcal{Z}$.*

We will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})}$ be a family of normal states on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ such that*

$$\exists \delta > 0 \, , \exists C_\delta > 0 \, , \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}) \, , \quad \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^\delta] \leq C_\delta < \infty \, , \tag{7}$$

and which admits a unique Wigner measure μ_0. Under the Assumptions **(A1)**-**(A2)**, *the family $(e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} \varrho_\varepsilon e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})}$ admits for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ a unique Wigner measure μ_t, which is the push-forward $\Phi(t, 0)_* \mu_0$ of the initial measure μ_0 by the flow associated with*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t z_t = A z_t + \partial_{\bar{z}} Q(z_t), \\ z_{t=0} = z_0. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

As we have mentioned previously, many authors use the BBGKY hierarchy method to justify the mean field limit. Here the analysis is different but it is still possible to formulate our results by using the vocabulary of reduced density matrices. For a family of normal states $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ and $p\in\mathbb{N}$, the reduced density matrices $\gamma_\varepsilon^{(p)}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}[\gamma_\varepsilon^{(p)}]<+\infty$ are defined according to

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\gamma_\varepsilon^{(p)}\tilde b]=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon(|z|^{2p})^{Wick}]}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon b^{Wick}],\ \forall\tilde b\in\mathcal{L}(\bigvee^p\mathcal{Z}),$$

with the convention that the right-hand side is 0 when

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon(|z|^{2p})^{Wick}]=0\,,$$

and $p>0$ (see [6] for more details). The notations b^{Wick} and $\mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$ are explained in Appendix A.3.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ be a family of normal states on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ with a single Wigner measure μ_0 and satisfying the (PI) condition, i.e.:*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon\mathbf{N}^p]=\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z|^{2p}\,d\mu_0(z)<\infty\,,\quad\forall p\in\mathbb{N}\,. \tag{9}$$

Then for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\varrho_\varepsilon(t)=e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}\varrho_\varepsilon e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon(t)b^{Wick}]=\int_{\mathcal{Z}}b(\Phi(t,0)z)d\mu_0(z)=\int_{\mathcal{Z}}b(z)d\mu_t(z)\,, \tag{10}$$

for any $b\in\mathcal{P}_{alg}(\mathcal{Z})=\bigoplus_{p,q\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$, with $\mu_t=\Phi(t,0)*\mu_0$ and $\Phi(t,0)$ the flow associated with the equation (6). Finally the convergence of the reduced density matrices $\gamma_\varepsilon^{(p)}(t)$ associated with $\varrho_\varepsilon(t)$ holds in $\mathcal{L}^1(\bigvee^p\mathcal{Z})$, the space of trace class operators, with

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\left\|\gamma_\varepsilon^{(p)}(t)-\frac{1}{\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z|^{2p}d\mu_0(z)}\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z^{\otimes p}\rangle\langle z^{\otimes p}|d\mu_t(z)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}=0,$$

for all $p\in\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 1.2, the family of normal states $(\varrho_\varepsilon(t))_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ admits a single Wigner measure μ_t equal to $\Phi(t,0)*\mu_0$. Besides owing to $[H_\varepsilon,\mathbf{N}]=H_\varepsilon\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{N}H_\varepsilon=0$ the family $(\varrho_\varepsilon(t))_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ is satisfying the (PI) condition (9) for any time $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then Propositions 2.11 and 2.12 in [6] allow to obtain the claimed results. □

The proof of Theorem 1.2 requires few steps. The operator H_ε with a suitable domain is proved to be self-adjoint in Proposition 2.1. Proposition 2.3 ensures that the Cauchy problem (8) defines a global flow on $\mathcal{Z}$.
Beside this, the proof consists in several steps that we briefly sketch here. For the first four points of this proof below we consider more regular states ϱ_ε.

1. By setting

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(t)=e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}\varrho_\varepsilon e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}\,, \tag{11}$$

$$\text{and}\qquad\tilde\varrho_\varepsilon(t)=e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}\varrho_\varepsilon(t)e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}\,, \tag{12}$$

we write

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t)W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)] = \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)] + i\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(s)W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{r}\varepsilon^{j-1}\mathcal{O}_j(s,\xi)]ds\,, \quad (13)$$

where the $\mathcal{O}_j(s,\xi)$'s are Wick quantized observables which satisfy some uniform estimates.

2. The number estimates given in Proposition A.6 provide equicontinuity properties of the quantity $\mathrm{Tr}\left[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t)W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)\right]$ w.r.t $(\xi,t)\in\mathcal{Z}\times\mathbb{R}$. So that a subsequence $(\varepsilon_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0 can be extracted such that for all times $t\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon\in\mathcal{E}) = \{\tilde{\mu}_t\}$$

with $\mathcal{E} = \{\varepsilon_k\,, k\in\mathbb{N}\}$.

3. With the number estimates, we get rid of the terms for $j\geq 2$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$ in (13). The compactness assumption **(A2)** is used in Proposition 3.1 when we take the limit in all the remaining terms of (13) for general initial data $\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon$. Subsequently, the measure $\tilde{\mu}_t$ is a weak solution of the Liouville equation

$$i\partial_t\tilde{\mu}_t + \{Q_t(z), \tilde{\mu}_t\} = 0\,, \quad (14)$$

with $Q_t(z) = Q(e^{-itA}z)$.

4. Finally, we follow the same lines as in [5] and refer to measure transportation tools developed in [1] in order to prove $(e^{-itA})_*\tilde{\mu}_t = \Phi(t,0)_*\mu_0$, and hence we get

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu_t\}.$$

5. The last point of this proof is a truncation scheme used in [5] for more general states.

2 Quantum and mean-field dynamics

In this section we show that the quantum and the classical dynamics are both well defined for all times.

2.1 Self-adjoint realization

Proposition 2.1.
(i) For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the operator $H_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ given by (1) with domain $D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(A))\cap\bigvee^n\mathcal{Z}$ is a self-adjoint operator in $\bigvee^n\mathcal{Z}$.
(ii) The operator H_ε, given by (3), is self-adjoint in $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ with the domain defined by

$$(\Psi\in D(H_\varepsilon)) \Leftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \Psi\in\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z}),\\ \forall n\in\mathbb{N}\,,\ \Psi^{(n)}\in D(H_\varepsilon^{(n)})\,,\\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\|H_\varepsilon^{(n)}\Psi^{(n)}\|^2<+\infty \end{array}\right).$$

Proof. (i) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and according to (3)-(4) the operator $H_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ equals

$$H_\varepsilon^{(n)} = H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)} + V_\varepsilon^{(n)}, \tag{15}$$

with $V_\varepsilon^{(n)} = \sum_{\ell=2}^r \{Q_\ell(z)\}^{Wick}_{|\vee^n \mathcal{Z}}$ with $Q_\ell(z) = \langle z^{\otimes \ell}, \tilde{Q}_\ell z^{\otimes \ell}\rangle$.
For $\phi^{(n)} \in D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(A)) \cap \bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$, a simple computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V_\varepsilon^{(n)}\phi^{(n)}\| &\leq \sum_{\ell=2}^r \varepsilon^\ell \frac{n!}{(n-\ell)!} \|\mathcal{S}_n(\tilde{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\bigvee^{n-\ell}\mathcal{Z}})\phi^{(n)}\|, \\
&\leq \sum_{\ell=2}^r \varepsilon^\ell \frac{n!}{(n-\ell)!} \|\tilde{Q}_\ell\| \, \|\phi^{(n)}\|_{\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}}, \\
&\leq C_{r,\varepsilon,n} \|\phi^{(n)}\|_{\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $V_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ is a bounded self-adjoint perturbation of $H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)}$ and therefore $H_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ is self-adjoint on $D(H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)})$.
(ii) Proposition A.1 in [5] is applied here, with $A_n = H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)} + V_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ yields the self-adjointness of H_ε. □

Once we have defined the quantum dynamics, we can then write an integral formula giving the propagation of normal states. However, instead of considering

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} \varrho_\varepsilon e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon},$$

we will rather work with

$$\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t) = e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} \varrho_\varepsilon(t) e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}.$$

With the convention of Appendix A.3, $\mathrm{D}^j b$ denotes the j-th differential of b with respect to $(z, \bar{z})$:

$$\mathrm{D}^j[b(z)][\xi] = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=j} \frac{j!}{\alpha!\beta!} \langle \xi^{\otimes\beta} \, , \, \partial_z^\alpha \partial_{\bar{z}}^\beta b(z) \xi^{\otimes\alpha}\rangle.$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ be a family of normal states on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$. Assume that*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \ \exists C_k > 0 \, , \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}), \ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^k] \leq C_k. \tag{16}$$

Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$, the function $t \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t) W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)]$ belongs to $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and the following formula holds:

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t) W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)] = \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)] + \tag{17}$$

$$i\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(s) W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) \{\sum_{j=1}^r \varepsilon^{j-1} \frac{(i\pi)^j}{j!} \mathrm{D}^j[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]\}^{Wick}] ds.$$

Proof. We denote $\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle = (1 + \mathbf{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
The quantity $\mathrm{Tr}[(\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(s)) W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)]$ is actually equal to

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^r (e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} - e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}) \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}] \tag{18}$$

$$+ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^r e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^r (e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} - e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}) \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r}]. \tag{19}$$

By differentiating first for $u \in D(H_\varepsilon^0) \cap \bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$ and then extending the result by continuity, we get for $u \in \bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$:

$$e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} u = e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} u + \frac{i}{\varepsilon}\int_t^s e^{i\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} Q^{Wick} e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} u \, d\sigma \,.$$

The number estimate in Proposition A.6 combined with $e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^{(n)}} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^{0,(n)}}$, implies for all $u \in \Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$:

$$\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} u = \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} u + \frac{i}{\varepsilon}\int_t^s e^{i\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} Q^{Wick} e^{-i\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} u \, d\sigma \,.$$

The integrand is continuous in $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ w.r.t σ for any $u \in \Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$. Taking the limit as $s \to t$ leads to

$$s - \lim_{s\to t} \frac{1}{t-s} \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} (e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} - e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}) = \frac{i}{\varepsilon} \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} Q^{Wick} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}. \tag{20}$$

Similarly (by exchanging H_ε^0 and H_ε) we get:

$$s - \lim_{s\to t} \frac{1}{t-s} \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} (e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} - e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}) = -\frac{i}{\varepsilon} \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} Q^{Wick} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}. \tag{21}$$

Notice that

$$\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} Q^{Wick} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})), \quad \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^r] < C_r < +\infty,$$

and

$$W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})).$$

Thus the trace (18) divided by $t-s$ is well defined and converges as $s \to t$ thanks to (20). In equation (21), remark that

$$\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} Q^{Wick} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})),$$

and

$$\forall \xi \in \mathcal{Z}, \ \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^{-r} W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) \langle \mathbf{N} \rangle^r \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})),$$

owing to the Lemma 6.2 in [2]. Since for all $u \in \Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$

$$s \mapsto e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0} u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})),$$

the trace (19) divided by $t-s$ is well defined and converges as $s \to t$ thanks to (21). Therefore the following integral formula holds true, with the help of (53),

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t) W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)] = \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)] + \frac{i}{\varepsilon}\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(s)[Q_s^{Wick} W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) - W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) Q_s^{Wick}]] ds, \tag{22}$$

with $Q_s(z) = Q(e^{-isA}z)$. We conclude by using (54) and (52) in Appendix B. □

2.2 The nonlinear (Hartree) equation

In this section we shall prove the global well posedness of the mean field dynamics. So we consider the Cauchy problem in $\mathcal{Z}$:

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t z_t = Az_t + \partial_{\bar{z}} Q(z_t), \\ z_{t=0} = z_0. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Under the assumptions* **(A1)** *and* **(A2)**, *for all $z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$, the previous Cauchy problem admits a unique mild solution z_t in $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Z}) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, D(A)')$.*
Furthermore the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{z}_t = v(t, \tilde{z}_t) = -ie^{itA}[\partial_{\bar{z}} Q](e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t), \\ \tilde{z}_{t=0} = z_0. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

is equivalent with the initial problem and admits a unique solution $\tilde{z}_t \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Z})$.
Furthermore this equation implies:

- $\forall t \in \mathbb{R},\ |z_t|_{\mathcal{Z}} = |z_0|_{\mathcal{Z}} = |\tilde{z}_t|_{\mathcal{Z}}$,
- *The velocity field $v(t,z) = -ie^{itA}[\partial_{\bar{z}} Q](e^{-itA}z)$, satisfies*

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R},\ |v(t,z)| \leq r\ M(\sum_{j=2}^{r} |z|^{2j-1}), \tag{25}$$

with $M = \max_{j=2,\dots,r} \|\tilde{Q}_j\|$.

Proof. It is enough to consider only positive times $t > 0$. We will prove that $z \to v(t,z) = -ie^{itA}[\partial_{\bar{z}} Q](e^{-itA}z)$ is locally Lipschitz in $\mathcal{Z}$ which will give the local existence and uniqueness on a time interval $[0, T^*[$ for the equation (24). Then we can recover solutions of the original equation (6) by setting $z_t = e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t$.
Let z, y be in $\mathcal{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |v(t,z) - v(t,y)| &\leq |[\partial_{\bar{z}} Q](e^{-itA}z) - [\partial_{\bar{z}} Q](e^{-itA}y)|, \\ &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{r} j|\ (\langle z_t^{\otimes j-1}| \vee \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{Z}})\tilde{Q}_j(z_t^{\otimes j}) - \langle y_t^{\otimes j-1}| \vee \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{Z}})\tilde{Q}_j(y_t^{\otimes j})\ |\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by setting $M = \max_{j=2,\dots,r} \|\tilde{Q}_j\|$, for all $z, y \in B(0,R)$, there exists a non negative constant $C_R > 0$ such that:

$$|v(t,z) - v(t,y)| \leq r\ C_R\ M|z - y|.$$

Thus the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem gives a unique solution $\tilde{z}_t$ in $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T^*[, \mathcal{Z})$. The previous calculus with $y = 0$ gives, for $t \in [0, T^*[$, the estimate:

$$|v(t,z)| \leq M\ r \sum_{j=2}^{r} |z|^{2j-1}.$$

It remains to prove $|z_t| = |z_0|$ for all $t \in [0, T^*[$ which ensures that $T^* = +\infty$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t|\tilde{z}_t|^2 = 2\mathrm{Re}\langle\tilde{z}_t, \partial_t\tilde{z}_t\rangle &= -2\mathrm{Re}\langle\tilde{z}_t, ie^{itA}[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t)\rangle \\ &= -2\mathrm{Re}\; i\langle e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t, [\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t)\rangle \\ &= -2\sum_{\ell=2}^{r}\mathrm{Re}\; i\langle e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t, [\partial_{\bar{z}}Q_\ell](e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t)\rangle \\ &= -2\sum_{\ell=2}^{r}\mathrm{Re}\; [i\ell\underbrace{Q_\ell(e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t)}_{\in\mathbb{R}}] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

So this shows that $|\tilde{z}_t| = |z_t| = |z_0|$ and the mass conservation is proved. By setting $z_t = e^{-itA}\tilde{z}_t$ and using the fact that the solution $\tilde{z}_t$ satisfies

$$\tilde{z}_t = z_0 - i\int_0^t e^{isA}[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-isA}\tilde{z}_s)ds,$$

we obtain

$$z_t = e^{-itA}z_0 - i\int_0^t e^{i(s-t)A}[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](z_s)ds.$$

Hence the function $t \mapsto z_t$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{Z}) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}, D(A)')$ and it is a mild solution of (6). □

3 Propagation of Wigner measures

3.1 The main convergence arguments

The following proposition will be useful in the derivation of the transport equation. It is mainly due to the compactness of the $\tilde{Q}_j$'s.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ be a family of normal states on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$. Assume the Assumptions* **(A1)** *and* **(A2)** *are satisfied and*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists C_k > 0,\ \forall\varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon}),\ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^k] \leq C_k.$$

Assume furthermore that:

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu\}.$$

Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)\{\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-itA}z)][\xi]\}^{Wick}] = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,z\rangle}\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-itA}z)][\xi]d\mu(z), \quad (26)$$

where $\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-itA}z)][\xi] = \langle[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-itA}z), e^{-itA}\xi\rangle + \langle e^{-itA}\xi, [\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-itA}z)\rangle$.

Proof. For $j \in \{2, \dots r\}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$, let $B_j(\xi)$ denote the operator

$$B_j(\xi) = \tilde{Q}_j(\mathrm{Id}_{\bigvee^{j-1}\mathcal{Z}} \otimes |\xi\rangle), \quad (27)$$

and

$$B_j^*(\xi) = (\mathrm{Id}_{\bigvee^{j-1}\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \langle\xi|)\tilde{Q}_j.$$

Both operators are compact respectively from $\bigvee^{j-1}\mathcal{Z}$ to $\bigvee^{j}\mathcal{Z}$ and from $\bigvee^{j}\mathcal{Z}$ to $\bigvee^{j-1}\mathcal{Z}$ owing to the assumption **(A2)**. Now, let us check that $\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-itA}z)][\xi]$ is the sum of

symbols with compact kernels. Actually, $Q(e^{-itA}z) = \sum_{j=2}^{r}\langle z^{\otimes j}, (e^{itA})^{\otimes j}\tilde{Q}_j(e^{-itA})^{\otimes j}z^{\otimes j}\rangle$ with $\tilde{Q}_j^* = \tilde{Q}_j$. In particular with $\overline{Q(z)} = Q(z)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-itA}z)][\xi] &= \langle [\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-itA}z), e^{-itA}\xi\rangle + \langle e^{-itA}\xi, [\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-itA}z)\rangle, \\
&= \sum_{j=2}^{r} j[\langle z^{\otimes j}, \tilde{Q}_j(e^{-itA}\xi \vee z^{\otimes j-1})\rangle + \langle \tilde{Q}_j(e^{-itA}\xi \vee z^{\otimes j-1}), z^{\otimes j}\rangle], \\
&= \sum_{j=2}^{r} j[\langle z^{\otimes j}, B_j(e^{-itA}\xi)z^{\otimes j-1}\rangle + \langle z^{\otimes j-1}, B_j^*(e^{-itA}\xi)z^{\otimes j}\rangle],
\end{aligned}$$

and all the terms involve compact operators. We refer to Lemma B.3 in order to compute the limit of $\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)\{\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-itA}z)][\xi]\}^{Wick}]$ and obtain (26).

□

In order to understand the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathrm{Tr}[\rho_\varepsilon(t)W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)]$, when ε goes to 0, we shall prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ be a family of normal states on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$. Assume the assumptions* **(A1)** *and* **(A2)** *are satisfied and*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists C_k > 0,\ \forall \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon}),\ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^k] \leq C_k.$$

Assume furthermore that

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu\}.$$

Then for all $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)\{\sum_{j=2}^{r}\varepsilon^{j-1}\frac{(i\pi)^j}{j!}\mathrm{D}^j[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]\}^{Wick}]ds = 0.$$

Proof. By using Proposition (A.6), a simple estimate of the integrand yields for all $s \in [0,t]$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)\{\sum_{j=2}^{r}\varepsilon^{j-1}\frac{(i\pi)^j}{j!}\mathrm{D}^j[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]\}^{Wick}]| \\
&\leq C_r\sum_{j=2}^{r}\varepsilon^{j-1}\frac{\pi^j}{j!}\|\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-r}\{\mathrm{D}^j[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]\}^{Wick}\| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=2}^{r}\varepsilon^{j-1}\frac{\pi^j}{j!}\tilde{C}_r\langle\xi\rangle^j,
\end{aligned}$$

with $\langle u\rangle = (1+|u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We conclude therefore by the dominated convergence theorem. □

3.2 Existence of Wigner measures for all times

Remember the definition of

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}\varrho_\varepsilon e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon},$$

and

$$\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t) = e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}\varrho_\varepsilon(t)e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon^0}.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ be a family of normal states on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$. Assume the assumptions* **(A1)**-**(A2)** *are satisfied and*

$$\forall k\in\mathbb{N},\exists C_k>0,\ \forall\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon),\ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon\mathbf{N}^k]\leq C_k\,. \tag{28}$$

For all sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $(0,\bar\varepsilon)$ such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\varepsilon_n=0$, there exist a subsequence $(\varepsilon_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\lim_{k\to+\infty}\varepsilon_{n_k}=0$ and a family of Borel probability measures $\{\tilde\mu_t,t\in\mathbb{R}\}$ such that:

$$\forall t\in\mathbb{R},\ \mathcal{M}(\tilde\varrho_{\varepsilon_k}(t),k\in\mathbb{N})=\{\tilde\mu_t\}. \tag{29}$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z|^{2k}\,d\tilde\mu_t(z)\leq C_k,\ \forall k\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{30}$$

and $\tilde\mu_t$ solves the integral equation, for all $\xi\in\mathcal{Z}$,

$$\tilde\mu_t(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle})=\tilde\mu_0(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle})-\pi\int_0^t\tilde\mu_s(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle}\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-isA}.)][\xi])ds,$$

$$=\tilde\mu_0(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle})+i\int_0^t\tilde\mu_s(\{\tilde Q_s\ ,\ e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle}(z)\})ds, \tag{31}$$

by setting for b_1,$b_2\in\mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$

$$\{b_1,b_2\}(z)=\partial_z b_1(z).\partial_{\bar z}b_2(z)-\partial_z b_2(z).\partial_{\bar z}b_1(z).$$

Proof. The extraction of such subsequence $(\varepsilon_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and the existence of a family of Borel probability measures $\tilde\mu_t$ have been proved in [5] by a diagonal extraction process relying on some Ascoli type argument. We skip the proof of this step since the result in [5] applies to our case without modification.
Let p_n be the projection on $\mathbb{C}e_1\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathbb{C}e_n$ with $(e_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ an ONB of $\mathcal{Z}$. Since $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(p_n)\leq\mathbf{N}$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z|^{2k}d\tilde\mu_t(z)=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|p_nz|^{2k}d\tilde\mu_t(z)=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{\liminf_{\varepsilon\to0}\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde\varrho_\varepsilon(t)(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(p_n))^k]\}$$

$$\leq\liminf_{\varepsilon\to0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon\mathbf{N}^k]\leq C_k\ .$$

This proves (30). For the derivation of the integral equation (31), we have according to (17),

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde\varrho_\varepsilon(t)W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)]=\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)]+$$

$$i\int_0^t\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde\varrho_\varepsilon(s)W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)\{\sum_{j=1}^r\varepsilon^{j-1}\frac{(i\pi)^j}{j!}\mathrm{D}^j[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]\}^{Wick}]ds.$$

The estimate of Proposition 3.2 implies that all the terms $j=2,...,r$ of the sum in the right side go to 0 as $\varepsilon\to0$. For the last term, we use Proposition 3.1 for $\tilde\varrho_\varepsilon(s)$ thanks to the fact that

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde\varrho_\varepsilon(t)\mathbf{N}^k]=\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon\mathbf{N}^k]\leq C_k\,.$$

Thus taking the limit as $\varepsilon\to0$ yields

$$\tilde\mu_t(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle})=\tilde\mu_0(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle})-\pi\int_0^t\tilde\mu_s(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle}\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-isA}.)][\xi])ds.$$

We conclude with

$$\begin{aligned} i\{\tilde{Q}_s\,,\, e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle}\}(z) &= i(\langle[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-isA}z)\,,\,\partial_{\bar{z}}e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,z\rangle}\rangle - \langle\partial_{\bar{z}}e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,z\rangle}\,,\,[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-isA}z)\rangle), \\ &= i\pi(\langle[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-isA}z)\,,\,i\xi\rangle - \langle i\xi,[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-isA}z)\rangle)\,e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,z\rangle}\,, \\ &= -\pi\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]\,e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,z\rangle}\,. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3 The Liouville equation fulfilled by the Wigner measures.

The previous integral equation (31) can be interpreted as a continuity equation, in the infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}$, fulfilled by the Wigner measures $(\tilde{\mu}_t)$.
We introduce some classes of cylindrical functions on $\mathcal{Z}$. Denote $\mathbb{P}$ the space of the finite rank orthogonal projections on $\mathcal{Z}$. A function is in the cylindrical Schwarz space $\mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$ (resp. $C^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$) if

$$\exists p\in\mathbb{P},\ \exists g\in\mathcal{S}(p\mathcal{Z})(\text{resp. } C^\infty_{0,cyl}(p\mathcal{Z})),\ \forall z\in\mathcal{Z}, f(z)=g(pz).$$

The space $C^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z})$ which enforces the compact support in the first variable, will be useful too. Denote $L_p(dz)$ the Lebesgue measure associated with the finite dimensional subspace $p\mathcal{Z}$. The Fourier transform is given on $\mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$ by :

$$\mathcal{F}[f](\xi)=\int_{p\mathcal{Z}} f(z)e^{-2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle z,\xi\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}}}L_p(dz),$$

$$f(z)=\int_{p\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}[f](\xi)e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle z,\xi\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}}}L_p(d\xi).$$

Then call $Prob_2(\mathcal{Z})$ the set of Borel probability measures μ finite second moment, i.e. $\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z|^2_{\mathcal{Z}}\,d\mu(z)<\infty$. On this space the Wasserstein distance is given by the formula:

$$W_2(\mu_1,\mu_2)=\sqrt{\inf_{\mu\in\Gamma(\mu_1,\mu_2)}\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z_1-z_2|^2_{\mathcal{Z}}d\mu(z_1,z_2)}, \tag{32}$$

with $\Gamma(\mu_1,\mu_2)$ the set of probability measures μ on $\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{Z}$ such that the marginals $(\Pi_1)_*\mu=\mu_1$ and $(\Pi_2)_*\mu=\mu_2$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ be the family of all Borel probability measures on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{Z}$. Here $\Pi_j, j=1,2$, are the canonical projections on the first and the second component respectively.

From now, after introducing a Hilbert basis $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$, the space $\mathcal{Z}$ can be equipped with the distance

$$d_w(x_1-x_2)=\sqrt{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}\frac{|\langle x_1-x_2,e_n\rangle|^2}{n^2}}.$$

It induces a topology globally weaker than the weak topology. However these topology coincide on bounded sets of $\mathcal{Z}$.
The norm and d_w topology give rise two distinct notions of narrow convergence of probability measures. On the one hand, a sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is narrowly convergent to $\mu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ if

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\mathcal{Z}}f(z)d\mu_n(z)=\int_{\mathcal{Z}}f(z)d\mu(z), \tag{33}$$

for every function $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^0(\mathcal{Z}, |.|)$, the space of continuous and bounded real functions defined on $\mathcal{Z}$ with the norm topology. On the other hand, a sequence $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly narrowly convergent if the limit (33) holds for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_b^0(\mathcal{Z}, d_w)$. Our sequences of probability measures are assumed to have a uniformly bounded moment $\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2k} d\mu_n(z) \leq C_k$ for some $k \geq 1$. Within this framework, the narrow convergence is equivalent to the convergence with respect to the Wasserstein distance W_2 in $Prob_2(\mathcal{Z})$ according to Proposition 7.1.5 in [1]. With the same moment condition, the weak narrow convergence is equivalent to the convergence (33) for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$ or for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$, acccording to Lemma 5.1.12 f) in [1].

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that the family $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ satisfies:*

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \exists\, C_\alpha > 0\,, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \bar\varepsilon),\ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^\alpha] \leq C_\alpha. \tag{34}$$

Consider a subsequence $(\varepsilon_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$,

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde\varrho_{\varepsilon_k}(t), k \in \mathbb{N}) = \{\tilde\mu_t\}. \tag{35}$$

Then the probability measure $\tilde\mu_t$ defined on $\mathcal{Z}$ satisfies

1. *When $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ a Hilbert basis of $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ is endowed with the distance $d_w(z_1, z_2) = \sqrt{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \frac{|<z_1-z_2, e_n>|}{n^2}}$, the measure $\tilde\mu_t$ is weakly narrowly continuous with respect to t.*

2. *This is a weak solution to the (continuity) Liouville equation*

$$\partial_t \tilde\mu_t + i\{Q_t, \tilde\mu_t\} = 0, \tag{36}$$

in the sense that for all $f \in C^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} (\partial_t f + i\{Q_t, f\}) d\tilde\mu_t(z) dt = 0, \tag{37}$$

with $Q_t(z) = Q(e^{-itA}z)$.

Proof. **a)** The characteristic function G of the measure $\tilde\mu_t$ is given by

$$G(\eta, t) = \tilde\mu_t(e^{-2i\pi \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta, z\rangle})\,.$$

The following inequality holds:

$$|G(\eta, t) - G(\eta', t)| \leq 2\pi|\eta - \eta'| \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z| d\tilde\mu_t(z). \tag{38}$$

Since the uniform estimate $\int_{\mathcal{Z}} 1 + |z|^2_{\mathcal{Z}} d\tilde\mu_t(z) \leq C_2$ is true for all times, we get for all η, η' in $\mathcal{Z}$ and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|G(\eta, t) - G(\eta', t)| \leq \pi|\eta - \eta'| C_2. \tag{39}$$

b) According to Proposition 3.3 and (31),

$$\tilde\mu_{t'}(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle}) - \tilde\mu_t(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle}) = -\pi \int_t^{t'} \tilde\mu_s(e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,.\rangle} \mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-isA}.)][\xi]) ds.$$

We use the estimate (25) and get

$$|\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]| \leq 2|e^{-isA}\xi|\ |[\partial_{\bar z} Q](e^{-isA}z)| \leq 2|\xi| M r \sum_{j=2}^{r} |z|^{2j-1}.$$

Thus for $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned}|G(\xi,t') - G(\xi,t)| &\leq \Big|\pi \int_t^{t'} G(\xi,s)\mathrm{D}[Q(e^{-isA}z)][\xi]ds\Big|,\\ &\leq 2\pi|t-t'||\xi|Mr \sup_{s\in[t,t']} \sum_{j=2}^{r} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2j-1} d\tilde{\mu}_s(z),\\ &\leq C|t-t'||\xi|,\end{aligned}$$

since $|z|^{2j-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+|z|^{2(2j-1)}) \in L^1(\mathcal{Z},\tilde{\mu}_t)$ and

$$\sum_{j=2}^{r} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2j-1} d\tilde{\mu}_s(z) \leq C_r,$$

with a time independent constant C_r. Hence for all ξ in $\mathcal{Z}$ and for all t, t' in $\mathbb{R}$:

$$|G(\xi,t') - G(\xi,t)| \leq 2\pi C_r Mr|t-t'||\xi|. \tag{40}$$

1. Take now $g \in \mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$ based on $p\mathcal{Z}$ and the equality holds:

$$I_g(t) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} g(z)d\tilde{\mu}_t(z) = \int_{p\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{F}[g](\eta)G(\eta,t)dL_p(\eta). \tag{41}$$

   We shall establish the continuity of I_g on $\mathbb{R}$. Indeed

   - $t \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}[g](\eta)G(\eta,t)$ is continue owing to (40)
   - $\eta \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}[g](\eta)G(\eta,t)$ is bounded by a $L_p(d\eta)$-integrable function thanks to (38) and $\mathcal{F}[g] \in \mathcal{S}(p\mathcal{Z})$.

   Thus we have the continuity of I_g for all $g \in \mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$. Furthermore the uniform estimate condition

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N},\ \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2\alpha} d\tilde{\mu}_t(z) \leq C_\alpha,$$

   with C_α time independent allow us to apply lemma 5.1.12-f) in [1] and to assert that the map $t \to \tilde{\mu}_t$ is weakly narrowly continuous.

2. We integrate the expression (31) with respect to $\mathcal{F}[g](\eta)L_p(dz)$:

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall g \in \mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z}),\ \int_{\mathcal{Z}} g(z)d\tilde{\mu}_t(z) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} g(z)d\tilde{\mu}_0(z) + i\int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{Z}} \{Q_s, g\}d\tilde{\mu}_s(z)ds.$$

   Hence I_g belongs to $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies:

$$\partial_t I_g(t) = i\int_{\mathcal{Z}} \{Q_t, g\}(z)d\tilde{\mu}_t(z).$$

   Multiplying this expression by a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and integrating by parts lead to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t I_g(t)\phi(t)dt = i\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z}} \{Q_t, g\}(z)d\tilde{\mu}_t(z)\phi(t)dt.$$

Integrating by parts gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z}} g(z)d\tilde{\mu}_t(z)\phi'(t)dt + i\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z}} \{Q_t, g\}\phi(t)d\tilde{\mu}_t(z)dt = 0,$$
$$\text{or} \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z}} (\partial_t f(t,z) + i\{Q_t, f\})d\tilde{\mu}_t(z)dt = 0,$$

with $f(t,z) = g(z)\phi(t)$.

We conclude by using the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \otimes^{alg} C^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$ in $C^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z})$.

□

3.4 Convergence toward the mean field dynamics

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that the family of normal states $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ on $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$ fulfills the assumptions* **(A1)**-**(A2)**, *with the uniform control*

$$\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N},\ \exists C_\alpha > 0\,, \forall\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon}),\ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^\alpha] \leq C_\alpha,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu_0\}.$$

Then for any time $t\in\mathbb{R}$ the family $(\varrho_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon}\varrho_\varepsilon e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H_\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ admits a unique Wigner measure μ_t equal to $\Phi(t,0)_*\mu_0$, where Φ is the flow associated with the well defined Hartree equation owing to Proposition 2.3. Moreover, the map $t\mapsto\mu_t\in Prob_2(\mathcal{Z})$ is continuous with respect to the Wasserstein distance W_2.

Proof. Take $\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t)$ as in (12) and consider the Hartree equation (6) with the flow $\Phi(t,s)$ corresponding to:

$$i\partial_t z_t = \partial_{\bar{z}} h(z,\bar{z}) = Az_t + \partial_{\bar{z}} Q(z_t), \tag{42}$$

on $\mathcal{Z}$ and the flow $\tilde{\Phi}(t,s)$ associated with

$$\partial_t \tilde{z}_t = v(t,\tilde{z}_t) \quad \text{with} \quad v(t,z) = -ie^{itA}[\partial_{\bar{z}}Q](e^{-itA}z)\,.$$

Proposition 2.3 provides the following estimate

$$|v(t,z)|_{\mathcal{Z}} \leq Mr\sum_{j=2}^{r} |z|_{\mathcal{Z}}^{2j-1}\,,$$

with $M = \max_{j=2,\dots,r}\|\tilde{Q}_j\|$. Recall that $(\tilde{\mu}_t)$ are the Wigner measures defined for all times and associated with a subsequence $(\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon_{n_k}})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, hence we obtain

$$|v(t,z)|_{L^2(\mathcal{Z},\tilde{\mu}_t)} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |v(t,z)|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_t(z)}$$
$$\leq Mr\sqrt{\sum_{j=2}^{r}\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2(2j-1)} d\tilde{\mu}_t(z)} \in L^1([-T,T]).$$

This holds since

$$\forall j\in\mathbb{N},\ \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2j} d\tilde{\mu}_t(z) \leq C_j\,,$$

with C_j time independent.
Now, using Proposition 3.4 the measure $\tilde{\mu}_t$ satisfies

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mu}_t + i\{Q_t, \tilde{\mu}_t\} = \partial_t \tilde{\mu}_t + \nabla^T (v(t,z)\tilde{\mu}_t) = 0,$$

in the weak sense and the map $t \mapsto \tilde{\mu}_t \in Prob_2(\mathcal{Z})$ is weakly narrowly continuous. Moreover, the velocity field $v(t,.)$ satisfies the condition $|v(t,z)|_{L^2(\mathcal{Z},\mu_t)}$ belongs to $L^1([-T,T])$. Thus, $\tilde{\mu}_t$ verifies the conditions of Proposition C.1 (see [5] for more details) with $I = [-T,T]$ and then $\tilde{\mu}_t$ is continuous with respect to the Wasserstein distance W_2. So now the measures $\tilde{\mu}_t$ satisfy all the hypotheses of Proposition C.2, i.e.:

- $t \mapsto \tilde{\mu}_t \in Prob_2(\mathcal{Z})$ is W_2-continuous.
- For all $T > 0$, $|v(t,z)|_{L^2(\mathcal{Z},\mu_t)}$ belongs to $L^1([-T,T])$.
- $\tilde{\mu}_t$ is the weak solution to:

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mu}_t + \nabla^T (v(t,z)\tilde{\mu}_t) = 0,$$

subsequently $\tilde{\mu}_t = \tilde{\phi}(t,0)_* \mu_0$ and

$$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\varrho}_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\tilde{\mu}_t\},$$

for any time $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By noticing that

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-it\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H^0_\varepsilon} \varrho_\varepsilon e^{it\frac{t}{\varepsilon}H^0_\varepsilon},$$

we get

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu_t\},$$

which finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 for regular data.

□

3.5 Evolution of the Wigner measure for general data

In this subsection, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 for general data. We used the same truncation scheme used in [5]. Hence consider a family $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.2, i.e.:

$$\exists \delta > 0\,, \exists C_\delta > 0\,, \forall \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}\,, \quad \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^\delta] \leq C_\delta < \infty\,.$$

There exists another family $(\varrho^{(m)}_\varepsilon)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho^{(m)}_\varepsilon] = 1$,

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}\,, \exists C_k > 0\,, \forall \varepsilon \in (0,\overline{\varepsilon})\,, \quad \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho^{(m)}_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^k] \leq C_k < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} \sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})} \|\varrho_\varepsilon - \varrho^{(m)}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} = 0. \tag{43}$$

Indeed by setting

$$\rho^{(m)}_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Tr}[\chi_m(\mathbf{N})\rho_\varepsilon\chi_m(\mathbf{N})]}\chi_m(\mathbf{N})\rho_\varepsilon\chi_m(\mathbf{N}),$$

with $\chi_m(n) = \chi(\frac{n}{m})$ and $0 \leq \chi \leq 1, \chi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of 0, the result (43) holds.

The family $(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(m)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is satisfying the assumption of Proposition 3.5 and then by extracting a subsequence $(\varepsilon_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}^{(m)}(t), k\in\mathbb{N}) = \{\phi(t,0)_*\mu_0^{(m)}\},$$

with $\phi(t,0)$ the flow of the Hartree equation (6), and $\mu_0^{(m)}$ the Wigner measure associated with $\varrho_\varepsilon^{(m)}$. Hence by setting $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon}))$, there exists a subsequence $(\varepsilon_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_{\varepsilon_l}^{(m)}(t), l\in\mathbb{N}) = \{\mu_t\}.$$

Then a computation of the total variation by the triangle inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |\mu_t - \phi(t,0)_*\mu_0| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |\mu_t - \phi(t,0)_*\mu_0^{(m)}| + \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |\phi(t,0)_*\mu_0^{(m)} - \phi(t,0)_*\mu_0| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |\mu_t - \phi(t,0)_*\mu_0^{(m)}| + \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |\mu_0^{(m)} - \mu_0|.\end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit when $m\to+\infty$ we get $\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |\mu_t - \phi(t,0)_*\mu_0| = 0$, hence

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(t), \varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\phi(t,0)_*\mu_0\}.$$

4 Examples

In this section we will give some examples of states which do not satisfy the (PI) condition introduced in the paper [6]. So that the results in [4, 6] can not be applied on these examples however they satisfy the assumptions of our Theorem 1.2. We recall the (PI) assertion below.

Definition 4.1. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ be a family of normal states such that*

$$\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{N},\ \exists C_\alpha>0\,, \forall\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon}),\ \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^\alpha]\leq C_\alpha, \tag{44}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu\}.$$

Then we say that $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ satisfies the (PI) condition if:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^k] = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2k} d\mu(z),\ \ \forall k\in\mathbb{N}\,. \tag{45}$$

Let us consider two kinds of normal states on the Fock space $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$, namely the coherent and Hermite states.

- The coherent states are given by

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(f) = |E(f)\rangle\langle E(f)| = |W(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{i\varepsilon}f)\Omega\rangle\ \langle W(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{i\varepsilon}f)\Omega|\,, \tag{46}$$

  where $f\in\mathcal{Z}$ and Ω is the vacuum vector of the Fock space.

- The Hermite states are given by

$$\varrho_\varepsilon(f) = |f^{\otimes k}\rangle\langle f^{\otimes k}|\,,\quad k=[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}],\quad f\in\mathcal{Z}. \tag{47}$$

For some coherent states or Hermite states the (PI) property was proved in [4] by a simple computation

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^k] = |f|^{2k} = \delta_f(|z|^{2k}). \tag{48}$$

Thus there is no loss of compactness for those states when f does not depend on ε. However, for our examples we will consider coherent and Hermite states where the vector f is ε-dependent. More precisely, let $(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ be a family of vectors in $\mathcal{Z}$ such that :

A3. *$|f_\varepsilon| = 1$ and f_ε weakly convergent to f_0 but not strongly, (i.e. $|f_0| < 1$).*

Then we shall prove that the family of normal states $\rho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)$ given by (46) or (47) provides a good example of states which does not satisfy the (PI) property but only the uniform condition (44).

We recall two useful Propositions from [3]. Consider two families of vectors $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ in $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$. With the family of trace class operators,

$$\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon,v_\varepsilon)} = |u_\varepsilon\rangle\langle v_\varepsilon|,$$

complex-valued Wigner measures can be defined by a simple linear decomposition, specified in [3]-Proposition 6.4. Recall that for a family of Hermite states $u_\varepsilon = u^{\otimes[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}$,

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)}) = \{\delta_u^{S^1}\} = \{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\delta_{e^{i\theta}u}d\theta\}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that the family $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ and $(v_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ of vectors in the Fock space satisfy the uniform estimates*

$$|(1+\mathbf{N})^{\frac{\delta}{2}}u_\varepsilon| + |(1+\mathbf{N})^{\frac{\delta}{2}}v_\varepsilon| \le C,\ |u_\varepsilon| = |v_\varepsilon| = 1\,,$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$. If additionally any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)})$ and any $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(v_\varepsilon,v_\varepsilon)})$ are mutually orthogonal, then

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon,v_\varepsilon)}, \varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)) = \{0\}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle u_\varepsilon, b^{Weyl}v_\varepsilon\rangle = 0,$$

for any $b \in \mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume the same assumptions as in the Proposition above with the additional condition $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)}) = \{\mu\}$ and $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(v_\varepsilon,v_\varepsilon)}) = \{\nu\}$. Then the family of trace class operators $(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon+v_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon+v_\varepsilon)})_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon+v_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon+v_\varepsilon)}) = \{\mu+\nu\}.$$

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)$ be either the family of coherent states (46) or Hermite states (47) with f_ε satisfying* **(A3)**. *Then the (PI) condition fails for $\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)$. However, we get for all $t > 0$, $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)(t), \varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)) = \{\mu_t\}$ with $\mu_t = \delta_{\Phi(t,0)f_0}$ in the case of coherent states and $\mu_t = \delta^{S^1}_{\Phi(t,0)f_0}$ in the case of Hermite states, where $\Phi(t,0)$ is the flow associated with*

$$i\partial_t z_t = Az_t + \partial_{\bar z}Q(z_t).$$

Furthermore, set $u_\varepsilon = u^{\otimes[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}]}$, $u \in \mathcal{Z}$, then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon),u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon))}, \varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)) = \{\delta^{S^1}_{u_t} + \delta_{f_t}\}\,,$$

with $u_t = \Phi(t,0)u$ and $f_t = \Phi(t,0)f_0$.

Proof. The proof splits on several steps.

- *Identification of the Wigner measure:*
  For coherent states:
  In order to identify the Wigner measure associated with $\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)$, at time $t = 0$, use the well known formula

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)) = e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,f_\varepsilon\rangle}e^{-\varepsilon\frac{|\sqrt{2}\pi\xi|^2}{4}},$$

  here the right hand side converges to $e^{2i\pi\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,f_0\rangle} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\delta_{f_0})$ when ε goes to 0. Therefore $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\delta_{f_0}\}$.

  For Hermite states:
  A simple computation yields for any $b \in \mathcal{P}^\infty_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon b^{Wick}] = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\langle f_\varepsilon^{\otimes n}, b^{Wick} f_\varepsilon^{\otimes n}\rangle = \langle f_0^{\otimes q}, \tilde{b} f_0^{\otimes p}\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} b(z)d\delta_{f_0}^{S^1}(z).$$

  Then by applying Proposition 6.15 in [3], one proves that $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon), \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\delta_{f_0}^{S^1}\}$.
  For the superposition of orthogonal states:
  Recall that $\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u+E(f_\varepsilon),u+E(f_\varepsilon))} = |u+E(f_\varepsilon)\rangle\langle u+E(f_\varepsilon)|$ and according to Proposition 4.3 and 4.2

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon),u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon))}, \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) &= \mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)}) + \mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(E(f_\varepsilon),E(f_\varepsilon))}) + \{0\}\\ &= \{\delta_u^{S^1} + \delta_{f_0}\}.\end{aligned}$$

- *Uniform estimates:*
  Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following uniform estimate holds
  For the coherent states:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)\mathbf{N}^k) = \langle\Omega, W^*(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{i\varepsilon}f_\varepsilon)\mathbf{N}^k W(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{i\varepsilon}f_\varepsilon)\Omega\rangle \le C_k|(\mathbf{N}+1)^{k/2}\Omega|^2 \le C_k.$$

  For Hermite states:
  In this case $\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon) = |f_\varepsilon^{\otimes N}\rangle\langle f_\varepsilon^{\otimes N}|$ with $N = [\frac{1}{\varepsilon}]$ is the number of particles.
  Notice that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon\mathbf{N}^k] = (\varepsilon n)^k|f_\varepsilon|^2 = (\varepsilon n)^k. \tag{49}$$

  For the superposition of orthogonal states:
  In this case $\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon),u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon))} = |u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon)\rangle\langle u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon)|$,

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \mathrm{Tr}[(|u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon)\rangle\langle u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon)|)\mathbf{N}^k] \le C_k.$$

- *The condition (PI) fails:*
  For coherent states:
  A simple computation of $\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)\mathbf{N}^k)$ when $k = 1$ gives the following equality

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)\mathbf{N}) = \langle E(f_\varepsilon), (|z|^2)^{Wick}E(f_\varepsilon)\rangle = |f_\varepsilon|^2_{\mathcal{Z}} = 1,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^2 d\delta_{f_0}(z) = |f_0|^2_{\mathcal{Z}}\,.$$

But $|f_\varepsilon|^2_{\mathcal{Z}}$ does not converge to $|f_0|^2_{\mathcal{Z}}$ because f_ε does not converge strongly to f_0. Hence the quantity $\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)\mathbf{N})$ does not converge to $\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^2 d\delta_{f_0}(z)$. Then the (PI) condition is not satisfied.

For the Hermite states:
It is easy to see that the (PI) condition fails. Indeed on the one hand $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^k] = 1$ but on the other hand $\int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z|^{2k} d\delta_{f_0} = |f_0|^{2k} < 1$.

For the superposition of orthogonal states:
Assume that the family $(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon),u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon))})_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ satisfies the (PI) condition. Fix $k=1$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon),u_\varepsilon+E(f_\varepsilon))}\mathbf{N}^k] = \quad & \langle u_\varepsilon, \mathbf{N}u_\varepsilon\rangle + \langle E(f_\varepsilon), \mathbf{N}E(f_\varepsilon)\rangle + \langle u_\varepsilon, \mathbf{N}E(f_\varepsilon)\rangle \\ & + \langle E(f_\varepsilon), \mathbf{N}u_\varepsilon\rangle\end{aligned}$$

The two last terms converge to 0 when $\varepsilon \to 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0,\, \varepsilon n\to 1} |\langle E(f_\varepsilon), \mathbf{N}u_\varepsilon\rangle| &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0,\, \varepsilon n\to 1} |\langle u_\varepsilon, \mathbf{N}E(f_\varepsilon)\rangle| \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0,\, \varepsilon n\to 1} \varepsilon n |\langle u_\varepsilon, \frac{\varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}}}{\sqrt{n!}} f_\varepsilon\rangle| = 0,\end{aligned}$$

according to formula

$$E(f_\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|f_\varepsilon|^2}{2\varepsilon}}}{\sqrt{n!}} f_\varepsilon^{\otimes n}.$$

Besides, the family of Hermite states $(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(u_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)})_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ satisfies the (PI) condition for $k=1$, hence the family $(\varrho_\varepsilon^{(E(f_\varepsilon),E(f_\varepsilon))})_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)}$ satisfies the (PI) condition for $k=1$ which is wrong.

- *Propagation*:
  All the examples (coherent, Hermite and orthogonal states) satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.2. Hence, for all $t>0$

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon(f_\varepsilon)(t), \varepsilon\in(0,\bar\varepsilon)) = \{\mu_t\},$$

  with $\mu_t = \Phi(t,0)_*\mu_0$ and where μ_0 is the initial Wigner measure of coherent, Hermite and orthogonal states previously computed.

□

A Second quantization

A.1 Fock space

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle .\,,.\rangle$ antilinear on the left-hand side associated with a norm $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z\,, z\rangle}$.

Definition A.1. *The bosonic Fock space on $\mathcal{Z}$ is given by:*

$$\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigvee^n \mathcal{Z},$$

where $\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$ denotes the n-fold symmetric tensor product. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the orthogonal projection of $\bigotimes^n \mathcal{Z}$ on the subspace $\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_n$. Moreover $\mathcal{S}_n$ have the explicit writing, for all $\xi_1, ..., \xi_n \in \mathcal{Z}$:

$$\xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \vee ... \vee \xi_n = S_n(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 \otimes ... \otimes \xi_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \xi_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \xi_{\sigma(2)} \otimes ... \otimes \xi_{\sigma(n)},$$

where Σ_n is the n-th fold symmetric group.

The algebraic direct sum is $\Gamma_s^{fin}(\mathcal{Z}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{alg} \bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$.

Proposition A.2. *The family $(\xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \vee ... \vee \xi_n)_{\xi_i \in \mathcal{Z}, i=1,...,n}$ spans $\bigvee^{n,alg} \mathcal{Z}$ and is a total family of $\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$. The same property holds for $(z^{\otimes n})_{z \in \mathcal{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{S}_n$ is an orthogonal projection since $\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$ is a closed subspace, then the family $(\xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \vee ... \vee \xi_n)_{\xi_i \in \mathcal{Z}}$ spans $\bigvee^{n,alg} \mathcal{Z}$ and is a total family of $\bigvee^n \mathcal{Z}$. The last result is straightforward from the equality:

$$\xi_1 \vee \xi_2 \vee ... \vee \xi_n = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} \varepsilon_1 ... \varepsilon_n \big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j \xi_j \big)^{\otimes n}.$$

□

A.2 Main operators

For $k = 1, 2$ and any operators $A_k : \bigvee^{i_k} \mathcal{Z} \to \bigvee^{j_k} \mathcal{Z}$ we can define the symmetric tensor product of operators:

$$A_1 \bigvee A_2 = S_{j_1+j_2} \circ (A_1 \otimes A_2) \circ S_{i_1+i_2} \in \mathcal{L}(\bigvee^{i_1+i_2} \mathcal{Z}, \bigvee^{j_1+j_2} \mathcal{Z}).$$

For all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, let denote $|z\rangle$ the operator: $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \lambda z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\langle z|$ the linear functional: $\xi \mapsto \langle z, \xi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$. Now let introduce the annihilation and creation operators.

Definition A.3. *For $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ a parameter. The ε-dependent annihilation and creation operators are defined by:*

$$\begin{aligned} a(z)_{|\vee^{n+1}\mathcal{Z}} &= \sqrt{\varepsilon(n+1)} \langle z| \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\vee^n \mathcal{Z}}, \\ a^*(z)_{|\vee^n \mathcal{Z}} &= \sqrt{\varepsilon(n+1)} S_{n+1} \circ (|z\rangle \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\vee^n \mathcal{Z}}) = \sqrt{\varepsilon(n+1)}\, |z\rangle \bigvee \mathrm{Id}_{\vee^n \mathcal{Z}} \end{aligned} \quad .$$

The families $(a(z))_{z \in \mathcal{Z}}$ and $(a^*(z))_{z \in \mathcal{Z}}$ satisfy the canonical commutation relations for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$:

$$[a(z_1), a^*(z_2)] = \varepsilon \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle \mathrm{Id}, \quad [a(z_1), a(z_2)] = 0, \quad [a^*(z_1), a^*(z_2)] = 0.$$

We also consider another important operator, namely the field operator

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a^*(z) + a(z))$$

generator of the unitary group $W(z) = e^{i\Phi(z)}$ which satisfies the Weyl commutation relations for all z_1,$z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$W(z_1)W(z_2) = e^{-\frac{i\varepsilon}{2}\mathrm{Im}\langle z_1,z_2\rangle}W(z_1+z_2)\ .$$

The number operator $\mathbf{N}$, parametrized by $\varepsilon > 0$, is defined according to

$$\mathbf{N}_{|\vee^n\mathcal{Z}} = \varepsilon n \mathrm{Id}_{\vee^n\mathcal{Z}}.$$

Finally, we recall that $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(A)$ is given by:

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma(A)_{|\vee^{n,alg}D(A)} = \varepsilon\sum_{k=1}^{n}\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes(k-1)}\otimes A\otimes\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes(n-k)}$$

In particular, $\mathbf{N} = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathrm{Id})$.

A.3 Wick quantization

For all p,q $\in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $\mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$ the space of complex-valued polynomials on $\mathcal{Z}$, defined by the following continuity condition

$$b \in \mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z}) \Leftrightarrow \exists\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{L}(\bigvee^p\mathcal{Z},\bigvee^q\mathcal{Z}), b(z) = \langle z^{\otimes q},\tilde{b}z^{\otimes p}\rangle. \tag{50}$$

These spaces are equipped with norms $|.|_{\mathcal{P}_{p,q}}$:

$$|b|_{\mathcal{P}_{p,q}} = \|\tilde{b}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\vee^p\mathcal{Z}\vee^q\mathcal{Z})}.$$

The subspace of $\mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$ polynomials b such that $\tilde{b}$ is a compact operator is denoted by $\mathcal{P}^{\infty}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$. The Wick quantization corresponds to each symbol $b(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$ a linear operator $b^{Wick} : \Gamma_s^{fin}(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_s^{fin}(\mathcal{Z})$.

Definition A.4. *For each symbol $b(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$, is associated an operator: $\Gamma_s^{fin}(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_s^{fin}(\mathcal{Z})$, given by*

$$b^{Wick}_{|\vee^n\mathcal{Z}} = 1_{[p,+\infty)}(n)\frac{\sqrt{n!(n+q-p)!}}{(n-p)!}\varepsilon^{\frac{p+q}{2}}S_{n-p+q}(\tilde{b}\otimes\mathrm{Id}^{\otimes(n-p)})\ .$$

We recall some well-known number estimate:

Proposition A.5. *For $b \in \mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$, the following estimate:*

$$\|\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-\frac{q}{2}}b^{Wick}\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{\frac{p}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z})} \leq |b|_{\mathcal{P}_{p,q}}.$$

holds with $\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle = (1+\mathbf{N}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proposition A.6. *Let $b \in \mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$, $\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}b^{Wick}$ and $b^{Wick}\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}$ extend to bounded operators on $\mathcal{Z}$ with norms smaller than $C_{p,q}|b|_{\mathcal{P}_{p,q}}$.*

An important operation with the Wick symbols is the composition: $b_1^{Wick}\circ\ b_2^{Wick}$ with b_1,$b_2 \in \bigoplus_{p,q}^{alg}\mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$ which is a Wick symbol in $\bigoplus_{p,q}^{alg}\mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$. Now we introduce the

useful notations for the formula about the composition.
Let $b \in \mathcal{P}_{p,q}(\mathcal{Z})$, the k-th differential of b is well defined and

$$\partial_z^k b(z) \in (\bigvee^k \mathcal{Z})^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{\bar{z}}^k b(z) \in \bigvee^k \mathcal{Z}.$$

Furthermore, we can define any differential $\partial_{\bar{z}}^j \partial_z^k b(z)$ for $j,k \in \mathbb{N}$ at the point $z \in \mathcal{Z}$:

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}^j \partial_z^k b(z) = \frac{p!}{(p-k)!}\frac{q!}{(q-j)!}(\langle z^{\otimes q-j}| \bigvee \mathrm{Id}_{\vee^j \mathcal{Z}})\tilde{b}(|z^{\otimes p-k}\rangle \bigvee \mathrm{Id}_{\vee^k \mathcal{Z}}) \in \mathcal{L}(\vee^k \mathcal{Z}, \vee^j \mathcal{Z}). \quad (51)$$

We use the following notations about the Poisson brackets:

$$\{b_1, b_2\}^{(k)}(z) = \partial_z^k b_1(z).\partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_2(z) - \partial_z^k b_2(z).\partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_1(z).$$

with the $\mathbb{C}-$bilinear duality product $\partial_z^k b_1(z).\partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_2(z) =< \partial_z^k b_1(z), \partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_2(z) >_{((\vee^k \mathcal{Z})^*, \vee^k \mathcal{Z})}$, which defines a function of $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ simply denoted by $\partial_z^k b_1.\partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_2$.

Proposition A.7. *Let $b_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathcal{Z})$ et $b_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathcal{Z})$. For all $k \in \{0, ..., \min(p_1, q_2)\}$, $\partial_z^k b_1.\partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{p_1+p_2-k,q_1+q_2-k}(\mathcal{Z})$, we have the estimate:*

$$|\partial_z^k b_1.\partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_2|_{\mathcal{P}_{p_1+p_2,q_1+q_2}} \leq \frac{p_1!}{(p_1-k)!}\frac{q_2!}{(q_2-k)!}|b_1|_{\mathcal{P}_{p_1,q_1}}|b_2|_{\mathcal{P}_{p_2,q_2}},$$

and the following formulas hold true on $\Gamma_s^{fin}(\mathcal{Z})$:

- $$b_1^{Wick} \circ b_2^{Wick} = \Big[\sum_{k=0}^{\min(p_1,q_2)} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!}\partial_z^k b_1.\partial_{\bar{z}}^k b_2\Big]^{Wick}.$$
- $$[b_1^{Wick}, b_2^{Wick}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\max(\min(p_1,q_2),\min(p_2,q_1))} \frac{\varepsilon^k}{k!}\Big[\{b_1, b_2\}^{(k)}\Big]^{Wick}.$$

The following lemma specifies the behaviour of the Wick observables conjugated by the Weyl operators and unitary groups generated by free Hamiltonians (see [6] for more details).

Lemma A.8. *Let $b \in \mathcal{P}_{alg}(\mathcal{Z})$:*
***a)** The operator b^{Wick} is closable and the domain of his closure contains:*

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = Span\{W(\phi)\psi, \psi \in \Gamma_s^{fin}(\mathcal{Z}), \phi \in \mathcal{Z}\}.$$

***b)** For all $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}$, the equality*

$$W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)^* b^{Wick} W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi) = \{b(z + i\pi\varepsilon\xi)\}^{Wick}. \quad (52)$$

holds on $\mathcal{H}_0$.
***c)** Let A be a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{Z}$ then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}d\Gamma(A)} b^{Wick} e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}d\Gamma(A)} = (b(e^{-itA}z))^{Wick}. \quad (53)$$

Lemma A.9. *Any $b(z) \in \bigoplus_{j=0}^r \mathcal{P}_{j,j}(\mathcal{Z})$ satisfies the following properties:*

1. *The equality*

$$b(z+i\pi\varepsilon\xi)=\sum_{j=0}^{r}\frac{(i\varepsilon\pi)^j}{j!}\mathrm{D}^j[b(z)][\xi], \tag{54}$$

where $\mathrm{D}^j[b(z)][\xi]$ *the j-th differential of b with respect to* $(z,\bar{z})$ *evaluated at* ξ*, i.e:*

$$\mathrm{D}^j[b(z)][\xi]=\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|=j}\frac{j!}{\alpha!\beta!}\langle\xi^{\otimes\beta}\ ,\ \partial_z^\alpha\partial_{\bar{z}}^\beta b(z)\xi^{\otimes\alpha}\rangle.$$

2. *There exists a* ε*-independent constant* $C_r>0$ *such that*

$$\|\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-\frac{r}{2}}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\frac{(i\varepsilon\pi)^j}{j!}(\mathrm{D}^j[b(z)][\xi])^{Wick}\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-\frac{r}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z}))}\leq C_r\langle\xi\rangle^r.$$

Proof. The first statement follows by Taylor expansion. Then notice that for all $j\in[0,r]$, $\mathrm{D}^j[b(z)][\xi]\in\bigoplus_{m,n}^{r-j}\mathcal{P}_{m,n}(\mathcal{Z})$. The number estimate of Proposition A.6 implies the existence of $C_r>0$ such that

$$\|\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-\frac{r}{2}}\sum_{j=0}^{r}\frac{(i\varepsilon\pi)^j}{j!}(\mathrm{D}^j[b(z)][\xi])^{Wick}\langle\mathbf{N}\rangle^{-\frac{r}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z}))}\leq C_r\langle\xi\rangle^r. \tag{55}$$

□

B Wigner measures

The Wigner measures are defined in [3, theorem 6.4]. We recall here the main result.

Theorem B.1. *Let* $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ *be a family of normal states on* $\Gamma_s(\mathcal{Z})$*. Assume that* $\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon\mathbf{N}^\delta]\leq C_\delta<+\infty$ *uniformly with respect to* $\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})$ *for some* $\delta>0$ *fixed and* $C_\delta\in\mathbb{R}_+^*$*. Then for any sequence* $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *with* $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\varepsilon_n=0$*, there is an extracted subsequence* $(\varepsilon_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *and a Borel probability measure on* $\mathcal{Z}$ *such that:*

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_{\varepsilon_{n_k}}b^{Weyl}]=\int_{\mathcal{Z}}b(z)d\mu(z),$$

for all $b\in S_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$*. Moreover the probability measure* μ *fulfills*

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}}|z|^{2\delta}d\mu(z)<C_\delta<\infty.$$

Definition B.2. *The set of Wigner measures associated with a family* $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ *(respectively a sequence* $(\varrho_{\varepsilon_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$*) which follows the hypotheses of the previous theorem is denoted:* $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon}))$*, (respectively* $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_{\varepsilon_n,n\in\mathbb{N}})$*) The expression* $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon,\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon}))=\{\mu\}$ *means that the family* $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ *is pure in the sense :*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon b^{Weyl}]=\int_{\mathcal{Z}}b(z)d\mu(z),$$

for all cylindrical symbols $b\in\mathcal{S}_{cyl}(\mathcal{Z})$ *without extracting a subsequence.*

We can assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu\}$ to prove properties of $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon}))$.
In practice the Wigner measures are identified though their characteristic functions with the relation:

$$\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu\} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\sqrt{2}\pi\xi)] = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mu)(\xi),$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon W(\xi)] = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} e^{i\sqrt{2}\mathrm{Re}\langle\xi,z\rangle} d\mu(z).$$

An a priori estimate argument allows to extend the previous definition to Wick symbols with compact kernels :

Lemma B.3. *Let $(\varrho_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,\bar{\varepsilon})}$ be a family of normal states on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z})$ depending on ε such that*

$$\forall\alpha \in \mathbb{N}, \exists C_\alpha > 0,\ \forall\varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon}), \quad \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{N}^\alpha] \le C_\alpha,$$

and $\mathcal{M}(\varrho_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in (0,\bar{\varepsilon})) = \{\mu\}$. Then, for any $b \in \mathcal{P}^\infty_{alg}(\mathcal{Z})$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathrm{Tr}[\varrho_\varepsilon b^{Wick}] = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} b(z) d\mu(z)\ .$$

C Results in infinite dimension for a transport equation

Recall that the Wasserstein distance is given by the formula

$$W_2(\mu_1,\mu_2) = \sqrt{\inf_{\mu\in\Gamma(\mu_1,\mu_2)} \int_{\mathcal{Z}} |z_1 - z_2|^2_{\mathcal{Z}} d\mu(z_1,z_2)}, \tag{56}$$

with $\Gamma(\mu_1,\mu_2)$ is the set of probability measures μ on $\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{Z}$ such that the marginals $(\Pi_1)_*\mu = \mu_1$ and $(\Pi_2)_*\mu = \mu_2$. The following result is the second part of Theorem 8.3.1 in [1] with p=2.

Proposition C.1. *Let I be an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$. If a weakly narrowly continuous curve μ_t: $I \to Prob_2(\mathcal{Z})$ satisfies the continuity equation*

$$\partial_t\mu_t + \nabla^T(v_t\mu_t) = 0,$$

in the weak sense:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathcal{Z}} (\partial_t\phi(z,t) + < v_t(z), \nabla_z\phi(z,t) >_{\mathcal{Z}}) d\mu_t(z)dt = 0, \forall\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z}),$$

for some Borel velocity field v_t, with $|v_t(z)|_{L^2(\mathcal{Z},\mu_t)} \in L^1(I)$, then μ_t is absolutely continuous with $W_2(\mu_t,\mu_{t'}) \le \int_t^{t'} |v_s|_{L^2(\mathcal{Z},\mu_s)} ds$. Moreover for Lebesgue almost every $t \in I$, v_t belongs to the closure in $L^2(\mathcal{Z},\mu_t)$ of the subspace spanned by $\{\nabla\varphi, \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{0,cyl}(\mathcal{Z})\}$.

Proposition C.2. *Let $\mu_t : \mathbb{R} \mapsto Prob_2(\mathcal{Z})$ be a W_2-continuous solution to the equation:*

$$\partial_t\mu_t + \nabla^T(v_t\mu_t) = 0,$$

in the weak sense:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathcal{Z}} (\partial_t\phi(z,t) + \langle v_t(z), \nabla_z\phi(z,t)\rangle_{\mathcal{Z}}) d\mu_t(z)dt = 0, \forall\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{0,cyl}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Z}),$$

for a suitable $v(t,z) = v_t(z)$ *such that* $|v_t(z)|_{L^2(\mathcal{Z},\mu_t)} \in L^1([-T,T])$ *for all* $T > 0$. *Assume additionally that the Cauchy problem*

$$\partial_t \gamma(t) = v_t(\gamma(t)), \gamma(s) = x,$$

admits a unique global continuous solution on $\mathbb{R}$ *for all* $s \in \mathbb{R}$, *and for all* $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ *such that* $\gamma(t,s) = \phi(t,s)\gamma(s)$ *defines a Borel flow on* $\mathcal{Z}$. *Then the measure* μ_t *satisfies*

$$\mu_t = \phi(t,s)_* \mu_s.$$

References

[1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, second edition, 2008.

[2] Z. Ammari and S. Breteaux. Propagation of chaos for many-boson systems in one dimension with a point pair-interaction. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 76(3-4):123–170, 2012.

[3] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field limit for bosons and infinite dimensional phase-space analysis. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 9(8):1503–1574, 2008.

[4] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field limit for bosons and propagation of Wigner measures. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50(4):042107, 16, 2009.

[5] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field propagation of infinite dimensional Wigner measures with a singular two-body interaction potential. To appear in Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 2011.

[6] Z. Ammari and F. Nier. Mean field propagation of Wigner measures and BBGKY hierarchies for general bosonic states. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 95(6):585–626, 2011.

[7] C. Bardos, F. Golse, and N. J. Mauser. Weak coupling limit of the N-particle Schrödinger equation. *Methods Appl. Anal.*, 7(2):275–293, 2000.

[8] T. Chen and N. Pavlović. The quintic NLS as the mean field limit of a boson gas with three-body interactions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(4):959–997, 2011.

[9] L. Erdős, B. Schlein, and H-T. Yau. Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensate. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(1):291–370, 2010.

[10] L. Erdős and H-T. Yau. Derivation of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation from a many body Coulomb system. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 5(6):1169–1205, 2001.

[11] J. Fröhlich, S. Graffi, and S. Schwarz. Mean-field- and classical limit of many-body Schrödinger dynamics for bosons. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 271(3):681–697, 2007.

[12] P. Gérard, P. A. Markowich, N. J. Mauser, and F. Poupaud. "Homogenization limits and Wigner transforms". *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53(2):280–281, 2000.

[13] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. The classical field limit of scattering theory for nonrelativistic many-boson systems. II. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 68(1):45–68, 1979.

[14] B. Helffer, A. Martinez, and D. Robert. Ergodicité et limite semi-classique. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 109(2):313–326, 1987.

[15] K. Hepp. The classical limit for quantum mechanical correlation functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 35:265–277, 1974.

[16] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon. On the uniqueness of solutions to the Gross-Pitaevskii hierarchy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 279(1):169–185, 2008.

[17] A. Knowles and P. Pickl. Mean-field dynamics: singular potentials and rate of convergence. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 298(1):101–138, 2010.

[18] P-L. Lions and T. Paul. Sur les mesures de Wigner. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 9(3):553–618, 1993.

[19] I. Rodnianski and B. Schlein. Quantum fluctuations and rate of convergence towards mean field dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 291(1):31–61, 2009.

[20] L. Tartar. H-measures, a new approach for studying homogenisation, oscillations and concentration effects in partial differential equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 115(3-4):193–230, 1990.